

Bringing Sustainability Into Focus: The Case for Contact Lens Recycling

Priyanka Bhatnagar, B.A., Nitya Devireddy, B.S., M.P.H., Margaret Tharp, B.S., and Stephanie P. Chen, M.D.

Eye & Contact Lens

As we move toward a more sustainable future, it is crucial to consider the environmental waste implications of everyday items. The contact lens industry serves as a prime example. A single person using daily disposable contact lenses generates waste from over 700 lenses and associated packaging annually. In the United States alone, there are approximately 45 million contact lens users according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.¹ The majority of soft contact lenses are made of a soft, hydrophilic plastic polymer known as poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate), or hydrogel, and silicone-hydrogel.^{2,3} Hydrogel and silicone hydrogel contact lenses are either daily disposable or planned replacement. Some planned replacement lenses are labeled for extended wear, but most are removed for nightly disinfection and disposed of at a recommended interval. As plastics, contact lenses are not biodegradable or compostable; most are discarded as waste and end up in landfills.

Approximately 21% of consumers improperly dispose of their used contact lenses by flushing them down the drain.⁴ This practice is particularly problematic, as it introduces contact lenses into wastewater systems and leads to microplastic and nanoplastic pollution in our waterways.⁴⁻⁶ Defined by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration as “small plastic pieces less than 5 millimeters long,” microplastics have gained recent attention due to their detection and accumulation in the bloodstream and various organ systems of mammals, including humans.⁷⁻¹³ Microplastics have similarly been found in fish and other seafood consumed by humans, while larger plastics have been known for decades to pose serious threats to marine life.^{7,14-17}

Contact lens recycling efforts face unique challenges, as standard recycling facilities cannot process contact lenses and related products due to presorting requirements and a paucity of clear recycling pathways for consumers.⁵ Specialized recycling facilities are required to clean, sort, process, and convert contact lenses into raw materials for manufacturers to recycle into new products. These can include outdoor furniture and decking, plastic shipping pallets, storage containers and bins, flooring tiles, and playground surface covers.⁵ TerraCycle is one example of a com-

pany working globally with businesses and individuals alike to keep everyday waste from landfills and incinerators. Programs such as TerraCycle’s partnership with Bausch & Lomb in the United States and Johnson & Johnson in the United Kingdom, as well as CooperVision’s commitment to net plastic neutrality, have begun to address the environmental footprint of contact lenses.^{5,18-20} While these programs facilitate the recycling of contact lenses and blister packs, widespread adoption and consumer awareness are still lacking. Estimates report that 93% of contact lens users do not recycle their lenses, and 58% are unaware of recycling options.^{19,21} Fortunately, the majority of contact lens users surveyed consider themselves environmentally conscious, highlighting an opportunity to promote sustainable practices among consumers.²²

Founded in 2022 and cosponsored by the American Academy of Ophthalmology, American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons, and European Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons, EyeSustain is a global consortium of eye societies and ophthalmologists committed to increasing sustainability in ophthalmic clinical and surgical practice through research, education, and advocacy.²³ In July 2024, EyeSustain launched EyeRecycle, a pilot recycling program for contact lenses, blister packs, and related eye care products in ophthalmology clinics at academic institutions across the United States. Waste is gathered at each site through collection bins placed at established drop-off locations. When a collection period ends or a collection bin fills, the waste is then shipped to specialized facilities for processing and recycling. This initiative aims to increase awareness and promote sustainable practices within the ophthalmology community among both patients and providers, leveraging the enthusiasm and dedication of medical students, ophthalmologists, optometrists, and other clinic staff involved at each location.

Several alternatives can be considered to reduce the waste from contact lens use. In a life cycle analysis comparing daily disposable contact lenses with reusable monthly replacement lenses, daily disposables were found to produce 1.06 kg of annual waste, while reusable monthly lenses produced 0.8 kg of annual waste. Switching from daily disposable to monthly replacement lenses with overnight disinfection is estimated to produce 27% less waste annually, even when accounting for the plastic from multipurpose solution bottles and contact lens cases.²⁴ However, the reduction in waste from monthly replacement lenses must be balanced against evidence showing that daily disposable lenses are the safest option for lens wear.²⁵ Laser vision correction offers an attractive alternative for those eager to be free of corrective lenses, though the costs and risks of the procedure must be considered. A greater

From the George Washington School of Medicine and Health Sciences (P.B.), Washington, DC; Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine (N.D.), Hershey, PA; Indiana University School of Medicine (M.T.), Indianapolis, IN; Department of Ophthalmology, University of California San Francisco (S.P.C.), San Francisco, CA; and Altos Eye Physicians (S.P.C.), Los Altos, CA.

S. P. Chen received the AAO YO Green Grant for work on a project discussed in the manuscript. The remaining authors have no funding or conflict of interest to disclose.

DOI: 10.1097/ICL.0000000000001140

environmental impact could be achieved if the leading contact lens manufacturers adopted more sustainable production methods and packaging designs, but financial and regulatory challenges pose significant obstacles to such changes. Early initiatives by companies such as Menicon and Hubble show promise, with their innovative, ultra-slim “flat pack” soft contact lens package.^{26,27} Reported to be eight times thinner than conventional blister packs, the flat packs not only reduce waste by 80% but also minimize the amount of raw materials needed for manufacturing upstream.²⁷

With contact lens products contributing an estimated 0.5% of total environmental waste, recycling represents a relatively small fraction of plastic waste processing.^{5,24,28} Nevertheless, it is a simple action that environmentally responsible individuals can take immediately. Involving medical students and ancillary staff in recycling programs can cultivate environmental stewardship practices for years to come. Through education and community engagement, efforts to reduce the environmental impact of contact lenses contribute to the improved sustainability of ophthalmic practice as a whole.

REFERENCES

1. CDC. About contact lenses. Healthy contact lens wear and care. 2024. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/contact-lenses/about/index.html>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
2. Saptaji K, Iza NR, Widianingrum S, et al. Poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) hydrogels for contact lens applications—A review. *Makara J Sci* 2021; 25:145–154.
3. Silicone hydrogels: Four decades of new chemistry in contact lenses. PentaVision. Available at: <https://clspectrum.com/issues/2022/december/silicone-hydrogels-four-decades-of-new-chemistry-in-contact-lenses/>. Accessed September 10, 2024.
4. Rolsky C, Kelkar VP, Halden RU. Nationwide mass inventory and degradation assessment of plastic contact lenses in US wastewater. *Environ Sci Technol* 2020;54:12102–12108.
5. The environmental impact of contact lens waste|contact lens spectrum. Available at: <https://clspectrum.com/issues/2019/august/the-environmental-impact-of-contact-lens-waste/#reference-28>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
6. García MLM, Naroo SA. Microplastics in contact lens waste. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2024;47:102177.
7. US Department of Commerce, NOAA. n.d. What are microplastics? Available at: <https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/microplastics.html>. Accessed August 3, 2024.
8. Ragusa A, Notarstefano V, Svelato A, et al. Raman microspectroscopy detection and characterisation of microplastics in human breastmilk. *Polymers (Basel)* 2022;14:2700.
9. Mohamed Nor NH, Kooi M, Diepens NJ, et al. Lifetime accumulation of microplastic in children and adults. *Environ Sci Technol* 2021;55:5084–5096.
10. American Chemical Society; n.d. Microplastics found in human heart tissues, both before and after surgical procedures. Available at: <https://www.acs.org/pressroom/presspacs/2023/august/microplastics-found-in-human-heart-tissues-before-and-after-surgical-procedures.html>. Accessed August 3, 2024.
11. Horvatits T, Tamminga M, Liu B, et al. Microplastics detected in cirrhotic liver tissue. *EBioMedicine* 2022;82:104147.
12. Hu CJ, Garcia MA, Nihart A, et al. Microplastic presence in dog and human testis and its potential association with sperm count and weights of testis and epididymis. *Toxicol Sci* 2024;200:235–240.
13. Garcia MM, Romero AS, Merkley SD, et al. In vivo tissue distribution of polystyrene or mixed polymer microspheres and metabolomic analysis after oral exposure in mice. *Environ Health Perspect* 2024;132:047005.
14. Sridhar A, Kannan D, Kapoor A, et al. Extraction and detection methods of microplastics in food and marine systems: A critical review. *Chemosphere* 2022;286:131653.
15. Ouyang X, Duarte CM, Cheung S-G, et al. Fate and effects of macro- and microplastics in coastal wetlands. *Environ Sci Technol* 2022;56:2386–2397.
16. Li H, Liu H, Bi L, et al. Immunotoxicity of microplastics in fish. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2024;150:109619.
17. Alberghini L, Truant A, Santonicola S, et al. Microplastics in fish and fishery products and risks for human health: A review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;20:789.
18. Lomb B+. Bausch + Lomb reports more than two million used contact lens materials recycled through ONE by ONE program. Available at: <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/bausch-lomb-reports-more-than-two-million-used-contact-lens-materials-recycled-through-one-by-one-program-300632059.html>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
19. Johnson & Johnson vision expands contact lens recycling programme as survey reveals majority of wearers are unaware of recycling options. 2022. Available at: <https://www.jjvision.com/press-release/johnson-johnson-vision-expands-contact-lens-recycling-programme-survey-reveals>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
20. Bigger Than Bottles. CooperVision. Available at: <https://coopervision.com/about-us/coopervision-sustainability/our-work/plastic-neutrality>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
21. Contact lenses & the environment. MyVision.org. Available at: <https://myvision.org/education/contact-lenses-and-the-environment/>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
22. Ghorbani-Mojarrad N, Rountree L, Terry L. Clinical investigation of flat pack toric contact lenses and wearer attitudes to environmental impact. *Eye Contact Lens* 2023;49:475–482.
23. EyeSustain. Available at: <https://eyesustain.org/>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
24. Smith SL, Orsborn GN, Sulley A, et al. An investigation into disposal and recycling options for daily disposable and monthly replacement soft contact lens modalities. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2022;45:101435.
25. Rhee MK, Jacobs DS, Dhaliwal DK, et al. Contact lens safety for the correction of refractive error in healthy eyes. *Eye Contact Lens* 2022;48:449–454.
26. Miru 1day Flat Pack. Menicon America. Available at: <https://www.meniconamerica.com/consumer/products/soft-lenses/miru-1day-flat-pack/>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
27. Order hydro by Hubble hydrating daily contact lenses online|Hubble contacts. Available at: <https://www.hubblecontacts.com/contact-lenses/hydro-by-hubble-lenses/>. Accessed July 30, 2024.
28. Morgan SL, Morgan PB, Efron N. Environmental impact of three replacement modalities of soft contact lens wear. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2003;26:43–46.